Investigating thermal convection at low Prandtl numbers using one-dimensional turbulence Marten Klein* & Heiko Schmidt

Dept. of Numerical Fluid and Gas Dynamics, Brandenburg University of Technology (BTU) Cottbus-Senftenberg, Germany

***Contact:** marten.klein@b-tu.de

Introduction

Turbulent thermal convection denotes the chaotic flow driven by buoyancy forces due to an unstable temperature stratification imposed to a layer of fluid. Convecting flows are encountered in numerous engineering and geophysical applications (see [1] references therein). When buoyancy forces are much larger than viscous forces, the flow may reach the **ultimate regime** of thermal convection [2, 3, 4]. This regime, however, has remained inaccessible to 3-D direct numerical simulations (DNSs) as it imposes very high resolution requirements [5, 6]. Recently 2-D DNSs [7] have reached the ultimate regime but at the price of an inverse energy cascade in contrast to 3-D turbulence.

Accurate and efficient numerical models are needed if one wishes to study convection under strong forcing conditions. Here we utilize the **one-dimensional turbulence (ODT)** model [8, 9, 10] in which a **stochastic process** is used to model 3-D turbulence within a dimensionally reduced setting. This model is able to yield, for example, a direct energy cascade [8]. Using ODT as forward model we investigate what might happen in turbulent convection at very high Rayleigh numbers.

Rayleigh-number dependence of the Nusselt number

The Nusselt number exhibits effective scalings $Nu \propto Ra^{\gamma}$ as shown in Fig. 4. For moderately high Ra numbers, the present ODT results exhibit approximately the classical (Malkus) scaling $\gamma = 1/3$ [15] to a degree that is comparable with the reference data. For high *Ra* numbers, a **transition to** the ultimate regime can be discerned. The effective scaling exponent is only slightly lower than the asymptotic value $\gamma = 1/2$, which is due to a logarithmic correction, that is, $Nu \propto Ra^{1/2} [\ln(Ra)]^{-3/2}$ according to Kraichnan [2]. For very high Ra numbers, the few available ODT data points are quite well described by the Kraichnan prediction up to a Pr-dependent prefactor [12, 16].

htu

University of Technology

Cottbus - Senftenberg

Brandenburg





ODT model formulation

ODT aims to **resolve all relevant scales** of a turbulent flow but only for a representative 1-D domain (ODT line) [8]. Flow variables are resolved along this notional line-of-sight on a dynamically adaptive grid [11]. Instantaneous flow profiles are evolved by **deterministic diffusion**, which is resolved along the ODT line, and a **stochastic process** that models the effects of 3-D turbulence. These are turbulent advection [8], but also fluctuating pressure gradient [9] and buoyancy forces [10].

• The **ODT governing equations** for the velocity vector u_i and a scalar T read [8, 9, 10],

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \mathcal{E}_i(\alpha) = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial z^2}, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathcal{E}_T = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}.$$

• The stochastic terms \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_T are formulated with discrete mapping (eddy) events (Fig. 1). • The eddy rate $\tau^{-1}(\ell, z_0; t)$ of a size ℓ eddy event at location z_0 depends on the locally available **specific energy**, $E_{kin} + E_{pot} - Z E_{vp}$, for the **momentary flow state** at time t [8, 9, 10],

$$au^{-1} = C \sqrt{2 \, \ell^{-2} \left(E_{kin} + E_{pot} - Z \, E_{vp} \right)}.$$

 E_{kin} and E_{pot} are the map-induced changes of the kinetic and the potential energy, respectively, and E_{vp} is a viscous penalty energy on the scale ℓ .

• The main **ODT model parameters** are C and Z [8, 10], together with $\alpha = 2/3$ [9].



Figure 4: (a) Scaling of the Nusselt number Nu versus Rayleigh number Ra for the Prandtl numbers Pr = 0.7 and 0.021. (b) Same data but compensated with $Ra^{0.32}$. ODT results and the corresponding scaling laws are given in blue and red. Reference DNS data (black) is given for $1 \leq \Gamma \leq 3$, Pr = 0.7 [17]; $\Gamma = 1$, Pr = 0.021 [6, 18]; $\Gamma = 25$, Pr = 0.7 and 0.021 [19]. Reference measurement data (EXP, gray) encompasses $0.23 \leq \Gamma \leq 20$, $0.5 \leq Pr \leq 10$ as compiled in [1]. The Kraichnan [2] prediction is given by dash-dotted lines with a magnified prefactor ($\times 10$) for Pr = 0.021. Transitional Ra numbers expected from the literature are marked by dotted lines [4, 6]. Thick crosses mark the calibration cases from Fig. 3.

Vertical profiles of the turbulent temperature flux

Vertical profiles of the ODT turbulent temperature flux per unit area, $\langle w'T' \rangle$, are shown for the lower half, $0 \leq z/L \leq 0.5$, of the domain in Fig. 5. For moderately large Ra numbers, in the classical **regime**, $\langle w'T' \rangle$ increases rapidly across the thermal boundary layer with thickness $z \leq \delta/L = (2 N u)^{-1}$ but levels out towards the bulk. By contrast, for large Ra numbers after transition to the **ultimate regime**, $\langle w'T' \rangle$ **increases again towards the bulk** where it attains its maximum at $z/L \approx 0.18$ for both *Pr* numbers investigated [12, 16]. This is consistent with an assumption of Kraichnan [2].



Figure 5: Time-averaged turbulent temperature flux per unit area $\langle w'T' \rangle$ for (a) Pr = 0.7 and (b) Pr = 0.021 corre-

time t time $t + \tau$

variable $u_i(z)$, T(z), ...

Figure 1: (a) Schematic of an eddy turnover. (b) Triplet map as 1-D model for turbulent advection. Effects of an eddy turnover are modeled by a permutation of fluid parcels along a stochastically selected ODT line interval (here $0.2 \le z \le 0.8$).

ODT application to Rayleigh–Bénard convection

• Thin layer of fluid (aspect ratio $\Gamma = 2R/L \simeq D/L \rightarrow \infty$) subject to const. gravity g (see Fig. 2). • Oberbeck–Boussinesq approximation, $\rho(T) = \rho_0 [1 - \beta (T - T_0)]$, where β is the thermal expansion coefficient, ρ the density, and T the temperature; the subscript 0 denotes reference values. • Smooth isothermal no-slip walls with constant temperature difference ΔT .

• The flow is characterized by the **Rayleigh** (*Ra*), **Prandtl** (*Pr*) and **Nusselt** (*Nu*) **number**,

 $Ra = \frac{g \beta \Delta T L^3}{\nu \kappa}, \qquad Pr = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}, \qquad Nu = 1 + \frac{\langle w'T' \rangle_{V,t}}{\kappa \Delta T/L}.$



Figure 2: (a, b) Sketches of the setups considered. (c) Space-time diagram of the instantaneous vertical temperature profile T(z, t) of an ODT solution. Black lines explicitly mark the line interval of every 10th eddy event. Reference scales are given by the free-fall velocity, $U_f = \sqrt{g \beta \Delta T L}$, and the free-fall time, $t_f = L/U_f$.

sponding to the regimes identified in Fig. 4(b). Normalization with the maximum value emphasizes profile shape variations.

Conclusion

- The **ODT model parameters** for thermal convection have been **estimated** for Pr = 0.021 and Pr = 0.7 using available DNS reference data for $\Gamma \simeq 1$ in the **classical regime**.
- ODT has good predictive capabilities. ODT exhibits the transition to the ultimate regime close to the expected critical Ra values. Nu(Ra) is described well by the Kraichnan [2] theory.
- The ODT results obtained support Kraichnan's [2] assumption of a relative increase of the tur**bulent temperature flux in the bulk** of the fluid for large *Ra* numbers.

Forthcoming Research

• Further analysis of the ODT scaling for the dependencies Nu(Ra, Pr) and Re(Ra, Pr). • Variation of the boundary conditions (e.g. sheared convection). • Model application to spherical-shell, non-Oberbeck–Boussinesq, and rotating convection.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ambrish Pandey for providing reference DNS data for a sample with aspect ratio $\Gamma = 25$. We also thank Alan Kerstein for discussions. This work was financially supported by the European Regional Development Funds (EFRE), StaF 23035000, and the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), which is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), ID-57316240.

References

[1] F. Chillà, J. Schumacher, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **35**, 58 (2012).

ODT model parameter estimation

The **ODT model parameters** are **estimated** by matching Nu (see Fig. 3 and [12]) and the mean temperature profile (see [13]) for a single *Ra* number but both *Pr* numbers to available reference DNS data. Note that these reference data are *not* particularly well-suited for the ODT model calibration as they are for $\Gamma \simeq 1$ and limited to low Nu numbers whereas ODT is built for the ultimate regime.



Figure 3: Model validation for the Nusselt number Nu. (a) Pr = 0.7, $Ra = (2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$, $Nu_{ref} = 176 \pm 5$ [5, 14]; (b) Pr = 0.021, $Ra = 4 \times 10^8$, $Nu_{ref} = 27.5 \pm 2.5$ [6]. Reference values are from cylindrical samples with $0.5 \leq \Gamma \leq 1$. Near-optimal values for C and Z are given by $C_{opt}(Z)$; the selected values are given by dotted lines (see [12]).

[2] R. H. Kraichnan, *Phys. Fluids* 5, 1374 (1962). [3] S. Grossmann, D. Lohse, *Phys. Fluids* **23**, 045108 (2011). [4] X. He, D. Funfschilling, H. Nobach, E. Bodenschatz, G. Ahlers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 024502 (2012). [5] R. J. A. M. Stevens, D. Lohse, R. Verzicco, J. Fluid Mech. 688, 31 (2011). [6] J. Schumacher, V. Bandaru, A. Pandey, J. D. Scheel, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 1, 084402 (2016). [7] X. Zhu, V. Mathai, R. J. A. M. Stevens, R. Verzicco, D. Lohse, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 120, 144502 (2018). [8] A. R. Kerstein, J. Fluid Mech. 392, 277 (1999). [9] A. R. Kerstein, W. T. Ashurst, S. Wunsch, V. Nilsen, J. Fluid Mech. 447, 85 (2001). [10] S. Wunsch, A. R. Kerstein, J. Fluid Mech. 528, 173 (2005). [11] D. O. Lignell, A. R. Kerstein, G. Sun, E. I. Monson, *Theor. Comp. Fluid Dyn.* 27, 273 (2013). [12] M. Klein, H. Schmidt, Proc. 11th Int. Symp. Turb. Shear Flow Phen. (TSFP11) (Southampton, UK, 2019). In press. [13] M. Klein, H. Schmidt, D. O. Lignell, Proc. Conf. Model. Fluid Flow (CMFF'18), J. Vad, ed. (Budapest, Hungary, 2018). [14] L. Li, et al., Phys. Rev. E 86, 026315 (2012). [15] W. V. R. Malkus, Proc. Royal Soc. A 225, 185 (1954). [16] M. Klein, H. Schmidt, The transition to the ultimate regime of thermal convection from a stochastic one-dimensional turbulence perspective (2019). arXiv:1906.06621. [17] J. D. Scheel, J. Schumacher, J. Fluid Mech. 758, 344 (2014). [18] J. D. Scheel, J. Schumacher, J. Fluid Mech. 802, 147 (2016). [19] A. Pandey, J. D. Scheel, J. Schumacher, *Nature Comm.* 9, 2118 (2018).