

Controlling of Transformation Processes

Peter Letmathe



Research Areas







Research Projects

ACCESS

Phase 2: Transformationspfade zu einer nachhaltigen Mobilität



Lehrstuhlcontrolling



IDEAL



NeuroSys



unIT-e2 UN IT E² Reallabor für verNETZte E-Mobilität

Wandel im Rheinischen Revier



WASCAL IMP-EGH Internat. Masters Programme in Energy and Green Hydrogen



VERBUND





Management Accounting of Transformation Processes







Societal transformation encompasses fundamental and simultaneous or time-shifted changes of technological, economic, ecological, and social systems, which are highly complex in terms of the systems to be considered, the path dependencies and the effects of alternative courses of action, and therefore require inter- and transdisciplinary solutions.

Drivers of societal transformations:

- Problems and challenges, e.g. overexploitation of our planet, climate change, collapse of biodiversity
- Social and technological innovations, e.g. digitization, artificial intelligences, social media
- Singular events, e.g. Fukushima, invasion of the Ukraine



Transformation Challenges: System Complexity

System complexity:

- Systems in which transformation processes are embedded are often highly complex, i.e. the causeeffect relationships of underlying changes are usually unclear and understanding them is therefore an important subject of transformation research.
- Complexity concerns the understanding of technological, economic, ecological, and social systems, as well as the relevant relationships among these systems.
- Not all changes occur at the same time, but relevant patterns emerge over time and may be recognized too late when negative consequences are difficult to prevent.





Transformation Challenges: Path Dependencies

Path dependencies (backward complexity):

- Transformation processes often have to overcome path dependencies resulting from investments already made or established behavioral norms and value attitudes.
- Inertia of established systems entails that transformation processes are delayed and associated with high costs.
- "Stuck in the past" problems require convincing alternative courses of action and the participation of relevant stakeholders on the basis of clearly formulated objectives.
- Compensation mechanisms must (at least partially) offset the costs or other disadvantages of affected stakeholders.







Transformation Challenges: Outcome Uncertainty

Outcome uncertainty (forward complexity):

- Due to system complexity, the effects of targeted system interventions are often unclear or difficult to predict.
- Uncertainty relates both to the level of success of measures and to possible (unintended) side effects, encompassing technological, economic, ecological and social systems and their interdependencies.
- Uncertainty is used by transformation critics to question transformation processes.
- Forward-looking complexity thus often reinforces existing path dependencies.
- Control mechanisms are needed to counteract undesired side effects and to strengthen desired outcomes.







1.1 Definition of Societal Transformations



Role of Management Accounting in Societal Transformations:

- Methodologically sound information basis for decision makers
- · Correction of irrationality and bias
- Documentation, planning and monitoring
- Information for corrective action in case of undesired effects
- Inputs for the public discourse
- Identifying opportunities (business models)
- Identification of regulatory needs to correct market failures (externalities!)
- Maintaining institutional health



Aachener Transformation Model





Aachener Transformation Model

Inputs

Visions:

Goals and compasses to create joint understanding

Know how:

Tangible and intangible knowledge (transfer/spillover)

Actors:

Scientists, workforce, institutions such as universities, communities, political parties, other stakeholder groups (facilitators and preventers)

Platforms:

Knowledge exchange, decisionmaking (e.g. budgets), vision refinement

Frameworks:

Regulations, finance, economic architectures and incentives

Transformation activities

Combination of inputs and their conversion into (desired?) outcomes

Conversion processes:

- "Black box"
- Deterministic or nondeterministic: Schrödinger's cat
- Trial and error (better outcome through more trial?)
- Evolutionary paths
- Event-based reaction patterns (natural disasters, technological breakthroughs, elections)

Understanding of conversion processes is key to successful transformation

Outcomes

Characteristics:

Specific outcomes uncertain Timing unknown Quantity not predictable Quality uncertain

Stages:

Conceptual ideas Prototypes Innovation ecosystems Marketable outputs successfully launched

Performance measures: Economic profitability

Number of workplaces Social impact Environmental sustainability (SDGs)

Management Accounting of Transformation Processes







Concepts and Methods



- Business Modeling
- Participation of Stakeholders
- Environmental Life Cycle Assessment
- Social Life Cycle Assessment
- Life Cycle Costing
- Total Cost of Ownership
- Accounting for Ecosystem Services and Biodiversity
- External Costing
- ESG and Sustainability Reporting





External Costing

Prof. Dr. Peter Letmathe Lehrstuhl für Controlling



External costs

External costs:

Monetizable effects of consumption and production decisions that are not borne by the polluter(s) themselves.

- > In principle, external costs can affect all SDGs (environmental and social impacts)
- Recording problem: quantification of causes of external effects, cause-and-effect effects, (nonlinear) damage functions often difficult to determine
- > Valuation problem: as there is generally no market price, often only subjective valuation possible
- Non-valuation trap: if decisions are made on the basis of economic rationality, this induces a non-valuation with a price of zero
- > Neglect trap: failure to take external effects into account generally leads to market failure
- Valuation approaches: Avoidance costs, damage costs, monitoring costs, avoidance costs, damage elimination or reduction costs, willingness to pay



External costs





Transportation Research Part D 87 (2020) 102501



External costs of the Dieselgate – Peccadillo or substantial consequences?



Frank Baumgärtner, Peter Letmathe*

RWTH Aachen University, Faculty of Business and Economics, Templergraben 64, Aachen D-52062, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: External costs Dieselgate Diesel vehicle Well-to-wheel analysis Nitrogen oxide (NO_X) The Dieselgate has changed the public view of diesel powertrains and local authorities have issued first driving bans on diesel cars in Germany. Nevertheless, a systematic calculation of the external costs of the Dieselgate considering different car models and a variety of emissions has not yet been conducted. We compare the results, which reflect emissions under test bench conditions, with those of diesel cars under the assumption that NO_X emissions reflect realistic driving behavior. We find that diesel cars with idealized emissions are superior to petrol cars with regard to external costs and that electric cars have only partially lower external costs than diesel cars. However, when realistic driving behaviors are considered, diesel engines constitute the worst powertrain in all cases. Our results show that the Dieselgate has led to substantially higher external cost than cars which would comply with environmental regulations under realistic driving conditions.











18

External costs: the diesel affair



Dieselaffäre 20 Milliarden Euro Kosten für die Gesellschaft

• Der Dieselbetrug hat in Deutschland einen gesellschaftlichen Schaden von rund 20 Milliarden Euro verursacht. Das ergab eine Studie der RWTH Aachen. Die Wirtschaftswissenschaftler Frank Baumgärtner und Peter Letmathe analysierten die Daten von 49 Fahrzeugmodellen und berechneten die volkswirtschaftlichen Kosten der überhöhten Abgaswerte, die sich etwa aus der verstärkten Luftverschmutzung ergeben. Den Preis für die schädli-

chen NO_x-Emissionen ermittelten die Forscher mithilfe der sogenannten NEEDS-Datenbank der EU. Demnach erzeugten die Abgastricks gesellschaftliche Kosten von jährlich 2,5 Milliarden Euro. Durch eine teils sechsfache Überschreitung der Grenzwerte sei der Diesel zum umweltschädlichsten Antrieb geworden. Der manipulierte VW-Motor EA189 wurde zwischen 2008 und 2015 eingebaut, ab Anfang 2016 versah der Konzern betroffene Autos mit einem Update. Der Vergleich mit neueren Fahrzeugen zeigt laut Studie aber auch, »dass es durchaus möglich ist, saubere Dieselmotoren zu konstruieren«. SH

www.spiegel.de/wirtschaft/unternehmen/dieselaffaere-kostet-deutschland-rund-20-milliarden-euro-laut-nwth-studie-a-6df6c386-299f-4970-96bb-5f68d9d3fe09



External Costs of Energy Systems in Europe



Source: Baumgärtner, F.; Letmathe, P. (2024). External costs of electricity generation in 27 European countries from 2010– 2030: Pathway toward sustainability or business as usual? PLOS One. (forthcoming).

External costs of electricity in Europe in the years 2010 (a), 2020 (b) and 2030 (c): Austria (AT), Belgium (BE), Bulgaria (BG), Cyprus (CY), Czechia (CZ), Germany (DE), Denmark (DK), Estonia (EE), Spain (ES), Finland (FI), France (FR), Greece (GR), Hungary (HU), Ireland (IE), Italy (IT), Lithuania (LT), Luxembourg (LU), Latvia (LV), Malta (MT), Netherlands (NL), Poland (PL), Portugal (PT), Romania (RO), Sweden (SE), Slovenia (SI), Slovakia (SK), United Kingdom (UK).



Management Accounting of Transformation Processes



External Costs of Energy Systems in Europe

Classification of external costs of energy systems:



Source: Baumgärtner & Letmathe (2024)

Management Accounting of Transformation Processes





Energy System Transition

Prof. Dr. Peter Letmathe Lehrstuhl für Controlling



Transformation of the Energy System



Source Statista (2023):

HAAU

https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/371 87/umfrage/der-weltweite-co2-ausstoss-seit-1751/#:~:text=Der%20weltweite%20Ausstoß% 20von%20Kohlenstoffdioxid,in%20einem%20d eutlich%20geringeren%20Maße.



Hydrogen Society – Vision or Illusion?

Transformation of our Energy System is one of the key challenges of the first half of this century

Business Model View Institutional Framework View

Energy System View

Capacity Building View



Energy System Simulation Tool: EnergyPLAN

Research Questions:

- 1. How and to what extent does the operation of water electrolysers enhance system flexibility, and how does EHP-based flexibility translate into decarbonisation?
- 2. How will the timing of the coal phase-out and the rate of EHP shape the decarbonisation of the German energy system during the next decade?
- 3. What will the economic consequences of upscaling EHP and the coal phase-out be?

EHP: Electric Hydrogen Production



Energy System Simulation Tool: EnergyPLAN

- Deterministic bottom-up simulation tool (developed at Aalborg University)
- Simulates the operation of national energy systems on an hourly basis, including the electricity, heating, cooling, industry, and transport sectors (www.energyplan.eu)

Data Types	Input	Output
Annual data	 Power plant capacities Production & consumption volumes Efficiencies Emission factors 	 Complete energy balances CO2 emissions
Hourly profiles	 Demand patterns (Intermittent RES) generation profiles 	 Generation & load profiles per technology Import/export profiles

Work Steps

- 1. Data collection (Sources: Federal statistics, environmental associations ...)
- 2. Model calibration
- 3. (Pathway) Modelling
- 4. Simulation (strategy: balancing both heat and electricity demand)



Energy System Simulation Tool: EnergyPLAN





Coal Phase-out and Electrolytic Hydrogen Production



Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.



Scenario pathways 2020-2030			Coal-fired power plant closures		
			Commission on Growth, Structural Change and Employment	Greenpeace	Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland (BUND)
Hydrogen production	None		BAU	GP	BUND
	LOW	Fixed & flexible hydrogen demand	-	LOW_GP	LOW_BUND
	HIGH		-	HIGH_GP	HIGH_BUND
		Only flexible operation of electrolyzers	BAU_Flex	GP_Flex	BUND_Flex

Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.



Management Accounting of Transformation Processes





Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.



Management Accounting of Transformation Processes



Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.





Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.





Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2020). Is the coherence of coal phase-out and electrolytic hydrogen production the golden path to effective decarbonisation?. *Applied Energy*, 279, 115779.



Management Accounting of Transformation Processes

- > Decarbonization targets will clearly be missed in each scenario!
- > Timing of phasing out coal affects the environmental effectiveness of EHP.
- > Earlier coal phase-out will not threaten security of electricity supply.
- Deployment of flexible-only operating electrolysers of up to 9554 MW by 2030 is required to enhance system flexibility and is beneficial from an environmental perspective.
- EHP is not expected to become competitive unlike alternative methods of hydrogen production (e.g. natural gas-based steam reforming) by 2030.
- → Current market conditions for electrolytic hydrogen production restrict the diffusion of water electrolysis technology, and policy makers are not sufficiently guiding technological change in the direction of achieving decarbonisation targets achievement by 2030.



Research Question:

Which extent of P2X usage is technically and socioeconomically reasonable for Germany, given the utilization of the conservatively estimated maximum potential of RES?



Revise and Resubmission in the Journal Energy:

Beckmann, J., Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2023). Power-to-X: Simulation, multicriteria evaluation, and recommendations for political measures in Germany







Scenarios	Simulated Decarbonisation Measures
maxRES S1	Renewable hydrogen fed into natural gas grid
maxRES S2	+ Renewable hydrogen as a partial substitute for industrial hydrogen demand so far met by hydrogen production processes based on fossil fuels
maxRES S3	+ Renewable methane as a partial substitute for natural gas demand
maxRES S4	+ Liquid fuels to fulfill the kerosene demand
maxRES S5	Hydrogen society (remaining fossil inputs are industrially used oil and coal)

Revise and Resubmission in the Journal Energy:

Beckmann, J., Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2023). Power-to-X: Simulation, multicriteria evaluation, and recommendations for political measures in Germany







Revise and Resubmission in the Journal Energy:

Beckmann, J., Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2023). Power-to-X: Simulation, multicriteria evaluation, and recommendations for political measures in Germany



Research Question:

Which extent of P2X usage is technically and socioeconomically reasonable for Germany, given the utilization of the conservatively estimated maximum potential of RES?



- Use of hydrogen in the transportation sector (spec. heavy duty, air traffic): S1 → S2
- S3 includes Power-to-Methane (compared to S3 and S5)
- A carbon-free economy is not feasible under the assumed conditions
- Hydrogen society is not recommendable (within the assumed assessment framework)

Revise and Resubmission in the Journal Energy:

Beckmann, J., Klöckner, K., & Letmathe, P. (2023). Power-to-X: Simulation, multicriteria evaluation, and recommendations for political measures in Germany

37

Center for Circular Economy RWTHAACHEN UNIVERSITY

Implications



Key directions:

- Redesign market architectures and create economic incentives (e.g. carbon trading)
- Make energy systems smart
- Think local (village and city economics)
- Think global (global energy systems and use of flexibility mechanisms, e.g. CDM)
- Cross-market thinking (sector coupling)
- Adjust lifestyles: participation of citizens
- Think interdisciplinary
- Apply lifecycle thinking (LCA and TCO methods)

