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## Why?

To understand how computers may understand numerals

## What?

An arithmetic-based unsupervised decomposition
algorithm for natural numerals.
It divides a numeral into its stem and its input subnumerals.
Required algorithm input:
a numeral word,

- its number value and
a lexicon of numeral-number-pairs that could appear as subnumerals.


## What for?

The stems of a dataset of numerals are a
lexicon to restore the whole dataset
Machine learning of numerals in valueascending order
Stems, such as ,_ hundred and _' are affine linear functions.

## Results

From 255 languages we decomposed datasets of numerals. The graph shows which amount of different stems the determined lexica have, in order to generate the datasets, which mostly contain $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ numerals.


I would do it this way: check out this app. https://tinyurl.com/jwtawcsn provided an analysis of the performances in https://tinyurl.com/mr4yy92u

Hi , I have drawn this graph with the stems of numeral words. These stems, like '_ hundred and _' can also store meaning, e.g. (3)hundred and(65)=3*100+65.

Is there a way I can read out the stem of a numeral word, so I can find out that 'three hundred and sixty-five' means $3 * 100+65$ ?


I scan the numeral from left for subnumerals to cut out. I extend the cuts as long as the value of the cut out numeral is less than half the whole numeral. And when we find a subnumeral larger than half the numerals value - like $300>365 / 2$ in the example - we save the current decomposition and no longer scan this first part.

I didn't understand everything, but it seems like I do not even have to know that the stem '_ hundred and _'
exists in order to assign 365 to it. So, we could even apply this as a learning algorithm.

Exactly. The algorithm only needs to know the value of the numeral to decompose. And obviously, it needs to have heard about 'three' and 'sixty-five', otherwise these subwords do not mean anything to it. For more examples - also in other languages
$\%$


Aah, so this does not only work in English?

Yes, we do not even rely on base 10. The algorithm is tested on 255 languages. For some languages the performance was better, for others worse. We have algorithm

