is

Unsteady Lift due to the Interaction of Incidence Turbulence with an Airfoil

Sparsh Sharma¹, Ennes Sarradj², Heiko Schmidt¹

¹ Fachgebiet Technische Akustik, BTU Cottbus-Senftenberg, 03046 Cottbus, E-Mail: sparsh.sharma@b-tu.de ² Fachgebiet Technische Akustik, TU Berlin, 10587 Berlin, E-Mail: ennes.sarradj@tu-berlin.de

Introduction

The acoustic signature from an airfoil downstream an incoming turbulent flow is a complex mathematical phenomenon which requires solving the nonlinear governing equations with higher resolutions. The problem is illustrated in Fig. 1. With the advent of high computing resources, it's possible, but at a cost of higher calculation time. For the preliminary analysis in the design process, a method is needed to calculate unsteady-lift due to the airfoil-turbulence interaction with the following characteristics:

- fast and accurate
- need minimum computing resources
- compares more characteristics trade-off or parametric study



Figure 1: Incidence turbulence interacting with the leading edge of an airfoil

Unsteady Effects

By the definition, an unsteady flow is one where the flow field variables at any point are changing with time which means all the aerodynamic parameters fluctuate with time too, and are certainly the inhibitors of all the disturbances which causes the generation of noise from an airfoil. It is instructive to recall the major historical development in the unsteady aerodynamics. Standard work by Karman, Sears, Amiet etc. shows that the presence of unsteady lift or pressure fluctuation over the lifting surface is the main cause of the noise In 1922, Prandtl¹ suggested to neglect the generation. influence of viscosity while treating the problem of incompressible flow past an oscillating airfoil, and thus to take Laplace equation as governing equation. It was pointed out that every change in the lift must be accompanied by the detachment of a vortex from the airfoil's trailing edge.

As it is shown in Fig-1 that the incoming turbulent eddies upstream the airfoil strikes with the leading edge of the airfoil and this is heard as noise; whereas because of the boundary layer growth chordwise, the vortex shedding takes place which is another source of noise. An important parameter, reduced frequency, k, was introduced by Birnbaum²,

$k = \omega c/u$

where k is reduced frequency, c is the chord length and u the flow speed. This parameter is a measure of unsteadiness. When an oscillating airfoil sheds a vortical wake with a certain wavelength, the reduced frequency compares this wavelength with the airfoil chord because during one oscillation a vortex shed from the trailing edge travels the distance u/w. This means, the higher the reduced frequency the smaller the wavelength.

- Steady state aerodynamics, k = 0
- Quasi-steady aerodynamics, $0 \le k \le 0$.
- considered highly unsteady

Methodology:teraction of Incoming Methodology:th Leading Edge:

To mathematically derive the problem, Potent for unsteady flow³ and Panel method⁴ is used. The nounty of the work is to have the least assumptions and account for:

- finite thickness of the airfoil
- high subsonic speeds
- deformation of the airfoil
- cross wind effects

Fig. 2 shows the steps taken to model the incidence turbulence. Sources and vortices are used as the singularities. The wake is modelled using the Helmholtz circulation theorem⁵. This leads to the calculation of unsteady pr fluctuation on the surface of the airfoil, which can furtl used to calculate the noise parameters using the ac analogies.



Figure 2: Flowchart of the steps



Figure 3: Representation of smooth airfoil with nodes and panels

Figure 3 illustrates the representation of a smooth surface 1 a series of line segments. The numbering system starts at t lower surface trailing edge and proceeds forward, around t leading edge and aft to the upper surface trailing edge. *N*-points define *N* panels.

$$\phi = V_{\infty}(x\cos\alpha + y\sin\alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{panel j} \left[\frac{q(s)}{2\pi} \ln r - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \theta \right] c$$

The approach (depicted in Fig. 4) is to

- 1. break up the surface into straight line segments,
- 2. assume the source strength is constant over each line segment (panel) but has a different value for each panel
- 3. the vortex strength is constant and equal over each panel

Roughly, think of the constant vortices as adding up to the circulation to satisfy the Kutta condition. The sources are required to satisfy flow tangency on the surface (thickness).



Figure 4: Extension of the panel method for unsteady-flow

Flow tangency boundary condition

A constant vortex strength γ will be added to each panel (all panels have the same, constant vortex-sheet strength). The flow tangency boundary condition is applied at every panel center:

$$0 = \vec{V} \cdot \vec{n_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_i} \{ \phi(x_{ci}, y_{ci}) \}$$





Figure 5: Streamlines around the airfoil (top), pressure contour around the airfoil (bottom)

Reference:

- [1] Prandtl, L.: "Über die Entstehung von Wirbeln in der idealen Flüssigkeit, mit Anwendung auf die Tragflügeltheorie und andere Aufgaben, "Hydro und Aerodynamik, Berlin, Julius Springer Verlag, pp. 18-33, 1924
- [2] Birnbaum, W.: "Das eben Problem des schlagenden Flüels, Zeitschrift fur angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik (ZAMM), Vol. 4, pp. 277-292, 1924
- [3] TH. Von Karman. "Airfoil Theory for Non-Uniform Motion", Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 5, No. 10 (1938), pp. 379-390
- [4] Smith, A.M.O., The Panel Method: Its Original Development. In Applied Computational Aerodynamics, Vol. 125
- [5] Katz, Plotkin: Low-Speed Aerodynamics, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press