ISS Experiment GeoFlow: First Steps of Data Evaluation

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Dept. Aerodynamics and Fluid Mechanics, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus, Germany

> European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2009 Vienna, Austria, 19-24 April 2009

funded by: German Aerospace Center e.V. (DLR), 50 WM 0822, European Space Agency (ESA), grant number AO99-049, ESA Topical Team, grant number 18950/05/NL/VJ

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers Data Evaluation for GeoFlow Experiment

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Summary of 3D Numerical Simulation First Identification of Experimental Data Summary, Outlook and Appendices

GeoFlow experiment:

spherical Rayleigh-Bénard convection in self-gravitating force field, superposition of rotation

- radial gravity force by dielectrophoretic effect in microgravity environment of ISS
- fully spherical shell
- spherical shell convection for non-rotating case
- convection in rotating spherical shells
- $\eta = 0.5$, $Pr \approx 65$, $Ra \approx 2 \cdot 10^4$, $Ta \approx 10^6$

GeoFlow experiment: visualization of

- patterns of convection for non-rotating
- and rotating spherical shells
- identification of stability of co-existing modes (Ta = 0)
- change of sign for drift velocities ($Ta \neq 0$)
- up to columnar cells at the tangent cylinder (*Ta* high)



Summary of 3D Numerical Simulation First Identification of Experimental Data

Experiment framework

- GeoFlow experiment container, integrated in Fluid Science Laboratory FSL of COLUMBUS module on International Space Station ISS
- succesful launch: Feb 7, 2008; succesful start: Aug 8, 2008; successful return: March 2009



[Sources: European Space Agency (ESA); National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA); EADS Astrium GmbH, Friedrichshafen]

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Summary of 3D Numerical Simulation First Identification of Experimental Data Summary, Outlook and Appendices

Processing and data transfer from ISS to BTU



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Summary of 3D Numerical Simulation First Identification of Experimental Data Summary, Outlook and Appendices

Non-dimensional Boussinesq equations for dielectric convection in spherical shells, rotating reference frame, scaled to outer spherical radius

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$Pr^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U}\right] = -Pr^{-1}\nabla p + \nabla^{2}\mathbf{U} + Ra_{centr} \cdot \frac{\eta^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{5}}T\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{r}$$
$$-\sqrt{Ta}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z} \times \mathbf{U} + \widetilde{Ra}Tr\sin\theta\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{eq}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla T = \nabla^2 T$$

no-slip boundary conditions for velocity U, temperature fixed $T(\eta) = 1, T(1) = 0$

Parameters		
geometry	radius ratio	$\eta = \frac{r_i}{r_o}$
physical prop. of fluid	Prandtl number	$Pr = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}$
dielectric buoyancy	central Rayleigh number	${\it Ra}_{centr}=\frac{2\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{r}\gamma}{\rho\nu\kappa}V_{\rm rms}^{2}\Delta T$
Coriolis force	Taylor number	$T_{a} = \left(\frac{2\Omega r_{o}^{2}}{\nu}\right)^{2}$
centrifugal force	additional Rayleigh number	$\widetilde{Ra} = \frac{\alpha \Delta T}{4}$ Ta Pr

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Summary of 3D Numerical Simulation First Identification of Experimental Data Summary, Outlook and Appendices

	9 · ·					
Experimental co	nstraints					
				GeoFlow	outer	mantle
gap width	$r_o - r_i[mm]$	13.5	$\rightarrow \eta$	0.5	0.37	0.55
viscosity	$\nu[m/s^2]$	5.10^{-6}	$\rightarrow Pr$	64.64	0.1-1.0	∞ , viscosity temp. depend. / layered
high voltage temperature	$V_{rms}[kV]$ $\Delta T[K]$	$10 \leq 10$	} Ra _{cen}	$_{tr} \leq 1.4 \cdot 10^5$	>10 ²⁹	$10^{6} - 10^{8}$
rotation rate	n[Hz]	≤ 2	\rightarrow Ta	$\leq 1.3\cdot 10^7$	10 ³⁰	<< 1
Experimental flo	w plan					
 set-up of h set-up of a 	nigh voltage fie artificial accele	eld: ration due	to central	force field		
 non-rotati vary ΔT r 	ng case: resp. <i>Ra_{centr}</i>					
 rotating ca set-up Δ7 	ase: ⁻ resp. <i>Ra_{centr}</i> ,	superimpo	ose <i>n</i> resp.	Ta		

Dynamics of GeoFlow in the experimental framework

- non-rotating case
 - coexistence of several modes (axisymmetric, cubic, m=5)
 - influence of initial conditions to reach different stable states
 - transition direct from steady to irregular flow with remnant tetrahedral symmetry
- rotating case
 - change of sign for drift velocity
 - complex pattern drift
 - transition to stabilizing effects due to centrifugal forces
 - transition from steady via periodic to irregular flow

Dynamics of GeoFlow: stability diagram and flow states



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes

Dynamics of GeoFlow: stability diagram and flow states with first experimental runs 1.0E+06 steady state ▲ neriodic state ✗ chaotic state ---- linear stability (m=4 . 10) exp * * 1,0E+05 Ra_centr *** 1.0E+04 40 * ** жж ¥**lee**é ee 1.0E+03 0<-1.0E+03 1.0E+05 1.0E+08 1.0E+04 1.0E+06 1.0E+07 Та

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes

Wollaston shearing interferometry

- refractive index n=(λ,ρ,p,T) temperature gradient → density gradient → refractive index gradient
 - variation of optical path length → interference: Wollaston shearing interferometry
 - deflection of beam: Schlieren/shadowgraphy
- modular Wollaston shearing interferometer works as Schlieren/shadowgraphy





interferograms

Parameter Regime **Optical Diagnostics** Identification of supercritical regimes

Camera position and image view



Triggering of image capture each 60°

ightarrow 6 positions gives measurement picture of whole hemispherical surface

Data volume	2	
images telemetry telemetry - t	200 GB 50 GB rechnical values, scientific value	s (Δ <i>T</i> , μg, etc.)
		(미) (월) (문) (문) 문
B. Fi	utterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers	Data Evaluation for GeoFlow Experiment

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes

Process of reconstruction of whole hemisphere in a plane



Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes

Reconstruction of images for specific part during RUN #4



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes

Dynamics of GeoFlow: stability diagram and flow states with first experimental runs 1.0E+06 steady state neriodic state ✗ chaotic state ---- linear stability (m=4 . 10) exp жж ••• 1,0E+05 ••• Ra_centr 6. *** 1.0E+04 40 * ** жж 1.0E+03 0<-1.0E+03 1.0E+05 1.0E+08 1.0E+04 1.0E+06 1.0E+07 Та

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes



Parameter Regime Optical Diagnostics Identification of supercritical regimes



Summary

- GeoFlow
 - spherical Rayleigh-Bénard convection experiment in self-gravitating force field
 - microgravity environment of COLUMBUS on ISS (Fluid Science Laboratory)
 - superposition of rotation
- dynamics for
 - non-rotating case
 - coexistence of several modes (axisymmetric, cubic, m=5)
 - transition from steady to irregular flow with dominating tetrahedral symmetry
 - rotating case
 - complex pattern drift
 - transition to stabilizing effects due to centrifugal forces
 - transition from steady via periodic to irregular flow

• first experimental data analysis shows 'columnar'-like pattern

GeoFlow I

- detailed analysis of experimental data by means of image processing, fringe pattern analysis, spherical surface view, movies → to show dynamics, which is presumed only numerically
- comparison between numerical and experimental data

GeoFlow II

- experiment on mantle convection phenomena
- experimental fluid with temperature dependent viscosity
- planned for 2010/2011

Experimental fluids filling the spherical shells system of GeoFlow I and II

- Bayer silicone oil M5 (GeoFlow I)
- alkane (paraffins) and alkanole fluids: Tetradecane ($C_{14}H_{30}$), Octanol ($C_{8}H_{180}$), Nonanol ($C_{9}H_{200} \rightarrow$ GeoFlow II)



B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Coulomb force

- charged particle in electric field E
- $F_C(r) = q E$
- much stronger than dielectrophoretic force
- suppressed by using high-frequency AC voltage $(T_{el} \ll \tau, \tau - relaxation time of free particle)$

Dielectrophoretic force

- due to polarisation of medium in electric fields (local dipoles)
- $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}^{2}(\mathbf{r})\nabla\epsilon$
- acting as a ponderomotive force only in geometrically inhomogeneous field
- resulting movement only for dieletric inhomogenous media
- depends on gradient of **E**, not sign
- spherical geometry: $F_D(r) \sim 1/r^5$
- acts as central force field (comparable to gravity)

GeoFlow constraints	Homogeneous electric field:	Inhomogeneous electric field:
 spherical shell system is geometrically inhomogenous experimental fluid is dielectric homogenous 	$F_1 = -F_2$, no resulting force	F ₁ <-F ₂ , resulting force

Setting up high voltage \rightarrow acceleration due to dielectric force field

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{2\rho} \epsilon \epsilon_r \nabla |\mathbf{E}|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{r_i r_o}{r_o - r_i} V_0 \sin(\omega t) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$$

$$g_e = \frac{2\epsilon_0\epsilon_r}{\rho} \left(\frac{r_i r_o}{r_o - r_i}\right)^2 V_{rms}^2 \frac{1}{r^5}$$

 $arepsilon_0$ - dielectric constant, $arepsilon_r$ - relative permittivity, ho - density, V_{rms} - voltage

GeoFlow specific values ...

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_0 &= 8.854 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ As/Vm}, \quad \epsilon_r = 2.7, \\ \rho &= 920 \text{ kg/m}^3, \\ d &= r_o - r_i = 27 \text{ mm} - 13.5 \text{ mm} = 13.5 \text{ mm}, \\ V_{rms} &= 10 \text{ kV} \\ &\rightarrow g_e|_{r_o} &\approx 10^{-1} \text{m/s}^2 \quad \text{ compared to } \quad g &\approx 10^1 \text{m/s}^2 \end{split}$$

→ microgravity conditions required!

B. Futterer, S. Koch, N. Dahley, C. Egbers

Data Evaluation for GeoFlow Experiment

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Linear analysis for Ta = 0



- critical Racentr for onset of convection independent on Pr
- larger $\mu \rightarrow$ larger critical mode *l*

Source: V. Travnikov, C. Egbers, R. Hollerbach. The GEOFLOW experiment on ISS. Part II: Numerical simulation. Adv. Space Res. 32 (2003), 181–189

V. Travnikov: Thermische Konvektion im Kugelspalt unter radialem Kraftfeld, Dissertation, Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen, 2004

(日)

Linear analysis for $Ta \neq 0$



- shape of stability curves nearly independent on Pr
- instability due to Hopf bifurcation
- for large Ta: $Ra_{centr} \sim Ta^{2/3}$

[P.H. Roberts. On the thermal instability of a rotating-fluid sphere containing heat sources. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London 263 (1968), 93-117]

drift velocity W changes sign (slows down or fastens rotation)

Source: Travnikov et al. (2003), Travnikov (2004)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

25/26





- existence of multistability (axisymmetry, cubic, m = 5)
- sudden onset of chaos at Ra > 28000
- hysteresis behaviour of the chaotic branch resulting in frozen states with tetrahedral symmetry

Source: K. Bergemann, L. Tuckerman, F. Feudel. *GeoFlow: On symmetry-breaking bifurcations of heated spherical shell convection.* J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **137** (2008), 012027 (4pp) K. Bergemann: *Konvektion im Kugelspalt: Numerische Untersuchung und Bifurkationsanalyse am Beispiel des GeoFlow-Experimentes*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Potsdam, 2008