# ClimeHop 

Lecture slides

## Conservation measures for the large marsh grasshopper

| Measure name | Measure definition | Number of mowing events | Ecological impact | Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very early mowing | Mowing until 7 weeks after the beginning of the vegetation period | 1 | ++ | €€ |
| Early mowing | Mowing until 9 weeks after the beginning of the vegetation period | 1 | +++ | €€€ |
| Late mowing | Mowing after 21 weeks after the beginning of the vegetation period | 1 | ++++ | €€€€ |
| Very late mowing | Mowing after 23 weeks after the beginning of the vegetation period | 1 | +++++ | €€€€€ |
| Mowing twice | Mowing until 7 and after 23 weeks after the beginning of the vegetation period | 2 | + | € |

## Cost-effectiveness

- Imagine a landscape divided into 9 grid cells



## Cost-effectiveness

- Imagine a landscape divided into 9 grid cells
- Each grid cell has a specific „ecological value" (EV)

| 9 EV | 8 EV | 7 EV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 EV | 5 EV | 4 EV |
| 3 EV | 2 EV | 1 EV |

## Cost-effectiveness

- Imagine a landscape divided into 9 grid cells
- Each grid cell has a specific „ecological value" (EV)
- Each grid cell also has specific conservation costs
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## Cost-effectiveness

- Each grid cell has a specific „ecological value" (EV) and conservation costs
- In which order would an ecologist who only considers ecol. value choose conservation sites?
- Start with 9EV, then 8EV, then 7EV etc.

| $\begin{gathered} 9 \mathrm{EV} \\ 6,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{EV} \\ \mathbf{2 , 5 0 0 €} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{EV} \\ \mathbf{2 , 0 0 0 €} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{EV} \\ 2,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{EV} \\ 1,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{EV} \\ 1,000 € \end{gathered}$ |
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- Each grid cell has a specific „ecological value" (EV) and conservation costs
- In which order would an ecologist who only considers ecol. value choose conservation sites?
- Start with 9EV, then 8EV, then 7EV etc.
- In which order would an economist who only considers costs choose

| $\begin{gathered} 9 \mathrm{EV} \\ 6,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \mathrm{EV} \\ \mathbf{4 , 0 0 0 €} \end{gathered}$ |
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- Start with cheapest $(1,000 €)$, then $2,000 €$ etc.


## Cost-effectiveness

- Each grid cell has a specific „ecological value" (EV) and conservation costs
- Budget constraint: 6,000€
- Which sites would they choose?

| $\begin{gathered} 9 \mathrm{EV} \\ 6,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{EV} \\ 4,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{EV} \\ 2,500 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{EV} \\ 2,000 € \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{EV} \\ 2,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{EV} \\ 1,000 € \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{EV} \\ \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 €} \end{gathered}$ |
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## Conservation outcomes of different measures

Conserving the LMG with the conservation measure "very early mowing" according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:
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Conserving the LMG with the conservation measure "early mowing" according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:



## Conservation outcomes of different measures

Conserving the LMG with the conservation measure "late mowing" according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:



## Conservation outcomes of different measures

Conserving the LMG with the conservation measure "very late mowing" according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:



## Conservation outcomes of different measures

Conserving the LMG with the conservation measure "mowing twice" according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:



## Conservation outcomes of different measures

Conserving the LMG with any conservation measure according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:



## Effects of climate change - additional results

- The following slides provide additional results not shown in the app



## Effects of climate change - additional results

RCP2.6: Choosing individual conservation measures or according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:


## Effects of climate change - additional results

RCP4.5: Choosing individual conservation measures or according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:


## Effects of climate change - additional results

RCP8.5: Choosing individual conservation measures or according to the strategies "low cost", "high benefit" and "benefit-cost ratio" leads to the following outcomes:


