# Strong daytime production of OH from HNO<sub>2</sub> at a rural mountain site

Karin Acker,<sup>1</sup> Detlev Möller,<sup>1</sup> Wolfgang Wieprecht,<sup>1</sup> Franz X. Meixner,<sup>2</sup> Birger Bohn,<sup>3</sup> Stefan Gilge,<sup>4</sup> Christian Plass-Dülmer,<sup>4</sup> and Harald Berresheim<sup>4</sup>

Received 23 September 2005; revised 1 December 2005; accepted 14 December 2005; published 26 January 2006.

[1] Nitrous acid and OH were measured concurrently with a number of other atmospheric components and relevant photolysis frequencies during two campaigns at the Meteorological Observatory Hohenpeissenberg (980 m a.s.l.) in summer 2002 and 2004. On most of the 26 measurement days the HNO<sub>2</sub> concentration surprisingly showed a broad maximum around noon (on average 100 pptv) and much lower concentrations during the night ( $\sim$ 30 pptv). The results indicate a strong unknown daytime source of HNO<sub>2</sub> with a production rate on the order of  $2-4 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. The data demonstrate an important contribution of HNO2 to local HO<sub>x</sub> levels over the entire day, comparable with the photolysis of  $O_3$  and HCHO. On average during the 2004 campaign, 42% of integrated photolytic HO<sub>x</sub> formation is attributable to HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis. Citation: Acker, K., D. Möller, W. Wieprecht, F. X. Meixner, B. Bohn, S. Gilge, C. Plass-Dülmer, and H. Berresheim (2006), Strong daytime production of OH from HNO<sub>2</sub> at a rural mountain site, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L02809, doi:10.1029/2005GL024643.

## 1. Introduction

[2] Nitrous acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>) is a key component in tropospheric oxidant chemistry due to its potential contribution to the formation of the hydroxyl radical, OH. Beside nitric acid it has been detected in air (rain water) in the 1850s [*Engler*, 1879] and attributed to formation while thunderstorms. HNO<sub>2</sub> was measured for the first time in ambient air by *Perner and Platt* [1979], and numerous subsequent laboratory, field, and modelling studies were conducted to explain the observed atmospheric concentrations. These cannot satisfactorily be explained, neither by homogeneous gas reaction R1,

$$(R1) \qquad \qquad OH + NO \rightarrow HNO_2$$

which is too slow, nor by direct emissions from combustion processes, which are too small.

[3] Presently heterogeneous reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with water on terrestrial surfaces is suspected to be a main HNO<sub>2</sub> source, especially during the night:

$$\begin{split} &\text{NO}_2(\text{ads}) + \text{NO}_2(\text{ads}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{HNO}_3(\text{aq}) \\ & (\text{R2}) \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2(\text{g}) + \text{HNO}_3(\text{ads}) \end{split}$$

Copyright 2006 by the American Geophysical Union. 0094-8276/06/2005GL024643\$05.00

Recent studies [Svensson et al., 1987; Lammel and Cape, 1996; Kleffmann et al., 2003; Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003; Stutz et al., 2004] show, that the kinetics of R2 is first order in NO<sub>2</sub>. Moreover the NO<sub>2</sub> conversion frequency depends on the surface to volume ratio and the surface properties, respectively, and seems to be similar in urban as in rural areas. The amount of surface adsorbed water appears to play a crucial role.

[4] The major sink for atmospheric HNO<sub>2</sub> is photolysis producing OH radicals:

(R3) 
$$HNO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow OH + NO$$

[5] In addition,  $HNO_2$  can also be removed to a few percent by direct reaction with OH:

$$(R4) \qquad HNO_2 + OH \rightarrow NO_2 + H_2O$$

Surprisingly, our present study as well as other recent work show that even at noon HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis R3 may produce OH formation rates comparable to ozone photolysis [*Alicke et al.*, 2002; *Aumont et al.*, 2003]. Despite the rapid photolysis process unexpectedly high values of HNO<sub>2</sub> (100–400 pptv) have been detected during the day in urban but also rural regions [*Febo et al.*, 1996; *Zhou et al.*, 2002; *Trick*, 2004; *Acker et al.*, 2005].

[6] Assuming photo-stationary state (PSS) between reactions R1, R3 and R4 one may deduce an estimate for the "unexplained" daytime source of HNO<sub>2</sub> missing in the balance. Kleffmann et al. [2005] found that the "missing" daytime HNO<sub>2</sub> source was up to a factor of 64 more efficient than heterogeneous HNO<sub>2</sub> production via R2. Already in 1987 Akimoto et al. [1987] suggested that a photocatalytic enhancement of HNO<sub>2</sub> formation would explain an excess OH production observed in smog chamber experiments, but without giving a mechanistic explanation. Recently, George et al. [2005] studied the effect of light in the range 300-500 nm on the uptake kinetics of NO<sub>2</sub> on various surfaces containing photosensitive organic substrates. They found that under humid conditions the photo-induced conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to HNO<sub>2</sub> via electron transfer reactions exceeded the rate of the (dark) reaction R2 by more than one order of magnitude depending on the substrate.

[7] In this study we will present the first concurrent measurements of HNO<sub>2</sub> and OH including diurnal variations obtained during two field campaigns at Hohenpeissenberg in rural southern Germany. From the diurnal profiles the contributions of HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis to OH radical formation are derived and the missing HNO<sub>2</sub> source is estimated.

## 2. Experiment

[8] Measurements were performed in the summers of 2002 (3-12 July) and 2004 (29 June-14July) at the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Brandenburgische Technische Universität Cottbus, Lehrstuhl für Luftchemie und Luftreinhaltung, Berlin, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut (MPI) für Chemie, Abteilung Biogeochemie, Mainz, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Institut für Chemie und Dynamik der Geosphäre II: Troposphäre, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Deutscher Wetterdienst, Meteorologisches Observatorium Hohenpeissenberg, Hohenpeissenberg, Germany.

Meteorological Observatory Hohenpeissenberg (48°N, 11°E) at about 300 m above the surrounding countryside (mainly forest and pastures) and ~18 m above ground level which roughly corresponds to the canopy level of the closest trees on the steep slopes of Hohenpeissenberg. At this observatory the German Weather Service operates its Global Atmosphere Watch program (GAW), featuring the monitoring of a broad range of meteorological and atmospheric chemical parameters including OH (www.dwd.de/gaw), hence providing a platform for detailed research studies [*Handisides et al.*, 2003; *Mannschreck et al.*, 2004]. There are no significant industrial sources in the vicinity, the nearest major city is Munich ~70 km NE, dominating wind direction is SW.

[9] HNO<sub>2</sub> was measured by a coupled wet denuder sampling/ion chromatography analysis technique described by *Acker et al.* [2005]. At a sample air flow of 10 l min<sup>-1</sup> and analysing the solutions containing the scavenged acid after 15 min of preconcentration, the detection limit was 3 pptv. OH was measured by chemical ionization mass spectrometry [*Berresheim et al.*, 2000] based on complete titration of OH with <sup>34</sup>SO<sub>2</sub> and detection of the corresponding gaseous bisulfate anion (H<sup>34</sup>SO<sub>4</sub>). At 5 min signal integration the detection limit was  $1.4 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.

[10] Photolysis-frequencies were obtained from spectral actinic flux measurements, the spectro-radiometer consisted of actinic flux quartz receptor optics, a 10 m quartz fibre, a double-monochromator, and a UV sensitive photomultiplier [*Hofzumahaus et al.*, 1999].

[11] In 2004 additional  $O_3$  measurements were performed at a foothill site 710 m a.s.l., 2 km south of the observatory.

[12] Since the temporal resolution of  $HNO_2$  was poorest (15 min), all measured quantities were averaged over the  $HNO_2$  measurement periods to create a synchronized data set for further analysis.

## 3. Results

[13] To our surprise the highest  $HNO_2$  mixing ratios were consistently measured during daytime in both campaigns, showing either a broad maximum or several distinct peaks occurring between 0800 and 1600 Central European Time (CET), see Figures 1 and 2. This daily variation differs from that generally observed elsewhere, i.e., accumulation of significant HNO<sub>2</sub> levels at night, followed by a rapid decrease after sunrise due to efficient photolysis via R3. At Hohenpeissenberg, average noontime levels reached 110 pptv in both years whereas nighttime minimum values were significantly lower in 2002 (10-20 pptv) compared to 2004 (~40 pptv). During night the station of the hilltop site is mostly out of the stable nocturnal boundary layer of the foothill area. Night-time measurements at the hilltop site are therefore more characteristic for the so-called residual layer, which resembles the fully mixed convective (daytime) boundary layer of the day before, with low to moderate  $NO_x$  levels (Figure 2). The diurnal profiles of  $O_3$  (Figure 1d) measured simultaneously at 980 m and 710 m a.s.l. respectively demonstrate the strength of nighttime decoupling and effective daytime mixing between foothill and hilltop layers. Higher hilltop wind speeds were observed in 2004 compared to 2002 (Figure 1c), and may have weakened the



**Figure 1.** Diurnal variation of HNO<sub>2</sub> at Hohenpeissenberg averaged for the periods 03 July–12 July 2002 (a) and 29 June–14 July 2004 (b), given as median and 0.25 and 0.75 quartiles. In (c) the averaged wind velocity (median) is shown for both periods. Additionally in (d) the diurnal variation (medians) of O<sub>3</sub> at Hohenpeissenberg summit is compared with O<sub>3</sub> at a foothill site, both averaged for the period 29 June–14 July 2004.

nighttime decoupling (by mechanic turbulence). Therefore the higher nighttime  $HNO_2$  concentrations in 2004 (Figure 1b) compared to 2002 (Figure 1a) may be caused by occasional turbulent transport of foothill accumulated  $HNO_2$  to the hilltop.

[14] On a daily basis HNO<sub>2</sub> and OH levels closely followed temporal variations in solar insolation as reported for example by J(HNO<sub>2</sub>) in Figure 2. Linear correlation coefficients  $r^2 = 0.48$  (HNO<sub>2</sub>) and  $r^2 = 0.86$  (OH) were obtained with regard to J(HNO<sub>2</sub>) in 2004. On the other hand, the correlation of HNO<sub>2</sub> with NO<sub>2</sub> is much poorer; considering all data,  $r^2 = 0.016$  is obtained. On average, a HNO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of  $0.063 \pm 0.06$  (1 $\sigma$ ) is obtained, similar to values found at other rural and urban sites [*Stutz et al.*, 2004; *Kleffmann et al.*, 2003; *Acker et al.*, 2005] and the ratio is higher during daytime (0.089) compared to nighttime (0.034). The correlation between HNO<sub>2</sub> and the relative humidity (all data) is also poor and even negative ( $r^2 = 0.129$ ).

### 4. Discussion

[15] Our results indicate that some other factors than gas phase NO<sub>2</sub> concentration and relative humidity were controlling the temporal HNO<sub>2</sub> distribution. The strong correlation with solar radiation suggests a substantial light-induced production of HNO<sub>2</sub>. HNO<sub>2</sub> maxima at noon and comparably lower concentrations at night have also been observed at other mountain stations. *Kleffmann et al.* [2002] reported measurements at 2650 m a.s.l. near Mt. Zugspitze, Germany, with HNO<sub>2</sub> levels increasing from 10 to 30 pptv and a simultaneous increase in J(HNO<sub>2</sub>) during the onset of sunny conditions. A correlation of HNO<sub>2</sub> and



Figure 2. Time series of  $HNO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , photolysis frequency J( $HNO_2$ ), and OH measured between 29 July and 09 July 2004 at Hohenpeissenberg.

solar radiation was also observed at Whiteface Mountain (1483 m a.s.l., USA) by *Huang et al.* [2002]. They suggested that elevated HNO<sub>2</sub> were produced by heterogeneous surface sources in conjunction with upslope winds. HNO<sub>2</sub> peaks observed during mid-morning hours at a rural site in Pinnacle State Park (515 m a.s.l., USA) were attributed to the evaporative release of HNO<sub>2</sub>/nitrite from dew originally trapping these compounds near the ground [*Zhou et al.*, 2002]. The authors also proposed nitrate/HNO<sub>3</sub> photolysis on wet surfaces as a major candidate for the missing HNO<sub>2</sub> source. However, in atmospheric simulation chamber experiments [*Rohrer et al.*, 2005] the latter process was found to be negligible.

[16] In the following we estimate the strength of the "unknown" daytime HNO2 source and the production rate of OH from HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis. First we assume that during midday the HNO<sub>2</sub> PSS conditions quickly establish, since the HNO<sub>2</sub> life-time with respect to photolysis is about 10-15 min. It is further assumed that the only important homogeneous gas phase reaction forming nitrous acid was R1. Based on the measured values of OH, NO and J(HNO<sub>2</sub>) and using R1, R3 and R4 (with corresponding rate constants k1 and k4 taken from Atkinson et al. [2004] photostationary HNO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios were calculated for bright sunny days in 2004 (J(NO<sub>2</sub>)  $\ge 6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Daytime maximum PSS levels of 4 pptv on 30 June, 5 pptv on 04 July, and 45 pptv on 08 July were obtained, significantly lower than corresponding observed HNO<sub>2</sub> levels (Figure 2). The ratio of the gas phase HNO<sub>2</sub> source to the sinks, R1/(R3 + R4), varied between 0.03 (on 30 June, 04 July) and 0.24 (on 08 July) around noon, indicating, that a major additional HNO<sub>2</sub> source is required to make up the balance. A contribution is expected from the heterogeneous conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to HNO<sub>2</sub>, according to R2. Most likely the ground and forest canopy on the mountain slope around the station contribute as reactive surfaces to this pathway, whereas aerosols could be neglected (with  $50-250 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{cm}^{-3}$  aerosol

surface and at 1 ppbv NO<sup>2</sup> the max. HNO<sub>2</sub> production is only 10<sup>3</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Since the exact mechanism of R2 is unknown, the source strength may be estimated from the measured increase of the HNO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio in the late evening hours and the assumption that the rate is independent of the time of day [*Alicke et al.*, 2002; *Kleffmann et al.*, 2003]. An upper limit of thus determined k<sub>2</sub> values of 2 × 10<sup>-6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> is estimated for the Hohenpeissenberg data. With this value and reactions R1–R4, the total HNO<sub>2</sub> source/sink ratio increases only slightly (0.05–0.34). Apparently the heterogeneous HNO<sub>2</sub> production according to R2 is of minor importance as a daytime source.

[17] Overall, a missing daytime HNO<sub>2</sub> source of  $(3 \pm 1) \times$  $10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (1 $\sigma$ ) is calculated from a simple R1-R4 balance equation under conditions with  $J(HNO_2) > 1 \times$  $10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup> during the 2004 campaign. This required source is larger than the NO<sub>x</sub> sink due to reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with OH, which implies a (typically two-fold) cycling of NOx through HNO<sub>2</sub> (with subsequent photolysis) before NO<sub>2</sub> is converted into HNO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, we have a substantial daytime source leading to a HNO<sub>2</sub> increase until noon despite its fast photolysis. However, the nature of this source remains unknown. One possible source mechanism may involve heterogeneous formation on organic films on ground and vegetation surfaces with directly photo-catalyzed electron transfer to NO<sub>2</sub>, as recently suggested by George et al. [2005]. However, also yet unconsidered photochemical processes in the gas phase leading to HNO<sub>2</sub> formation cannot be excluded.

[18] The production rate of  $HO_x$  (=  $OH + HO_2$ ) radicals was calculated from the measured photolysis frequencies and mixing ratios of  $O_3$ ,  $HNO_2$ , and HCHO as

$$P(HO_x) = J(O^1D)[O_3]\Phi_{OH} + J(HNO_2)[HNO_2] + 2J(HCHO)_r[HCHO]$$
(1)

(with  $\Phi_{OH} = OH$  yield of the  $O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O$  reaction under the measurement conditions,  $J(O^{1}D) =$  photolysis frequency  $O_{3} \rightarrow O(^{1}D)$ , and  $J(HCHO)_{r} =$  photolysis frequency HCHO  $\rightarrow$  H + HCO).

[19] In Figure 3 results are shown for 04 July 2004. Clearly, the important role of HNO<sub>2</sub> (corrected for (R4) which removes HO<sub>x</sub>) is evident. Photolysis of HNO<sub>2</sub> starts at larger solar zenith angles compared to photolysis of HCHO and O<sub>3</sub>, and particular in the morning contributions from HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis are even higher than from O<sub>3</sub> photolysis. If the HNO<sub>2</sub> concentration is calculated from PSS, its HO<sub>x</sub> production rate would be substantially underestimated. Based on equation (1), a 42% contribution of HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis to the integrated HO<sub>x</sub> formation at the top of Hohenpeissenberg was calculated for the 2004 campaign.

#### 5. Conclusion

[20] HNO<sub>2</sub> and OH concentrations were measured together with other trace gases at Hohenpeissenberg on 16 days in summer 2004 and on 10 days in summer 2002. The results demonstrate the important role of HNO<sub>2</sub> as radical source both in the morning, when photolysis starts, but also throughout the entire day. Between 0900 and 1500 CET the contribution of HNO<sub>2</sub> photolysis to HO<sub>x</sub> production is comparable to that of ozone and formaldehyde. Diurnal cycles of HNO<sub>2</sub> with maximum concentrations



**Figure 3.**  $HO_x$  radical production rates  $P(HO_x)$  at Hohenpeissenberg for 04 July 2004 from the photolysis of  $HNO_2$  (closed diamonds), HCHO (open triangles),  $O_3$  (solid line), and  $HNO_2$  (closed circles) calculated from PSS neglecting the unknown daytime source.

around noon were observed despite its effective photolytic decay. The ratio of known  $HNO_2$  sources to sinks varied around noon between 0.05 and 0.34 indicating a major daytime source still missing in this balance. Better characterization of the unknown source mechanism is the subject of further studies currently in progress. This includes measurements of  $HNO_2$  at the Hohenpeissenberg observatory and in the valley below to study in more detail the relative contributions to local  $HNO_2$  levels from direct emission, transport, and heterogeneous production.

[21] Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Deutscher Wetterdienst and Max Planck Gesellschaft. The authors wish to thank the GAW team for valuable assistance, and A. Stickler (MPI Mainz) for HCHO measurements.

#### References

- Acker, K., D. Möller, R. Auel, W. Wieprecht, and D. Kalaß (2005), Concentrations of nitrous acid, nitric acid, nitrite and nitrate in the gas and aerosol phase at a site in the emission zone during ESCOMPTE 2001 experiment, *Atmos. Res.*, 74(1-4), 507–524.
- Akimoto, H., H. Takagi, and F. Sakamaki (1987), Photo-enhancement of the nitrous acid formation in the surface reaction of nitrogen dioxide and water vapour: Extra radical source in smog chamber experiments, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 19, 539–551.
- Alicke, B., U. Platt, and J. Stutz (2002), Impact of nitrous acid photolysis on the total hydroxyl radical budget during the Limitation of Oxidant Production/Pianura Padana Produzione di Ozono study in Milan, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D22), 8196, doi:10.1029/2000JD000075.
- Atkinson, R., D. L. Baulch, R. A. Cox, J. N. Crowley, R. F. Hampson, R. G. Hynes, M. E. Jenkin, M. J. Rossi, and J. Troe (2004), Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I—Gas phase reactions of O<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1461–1738.
- Aumont, B., F. Chervier, and S. Laval (2003), Contribution of HONO sources to the  $NO_x/HO_x/O_3$  chemistry in the polluted boundary layer, *Atmos. Environ.*, *37*, 487–498.
- Berresheim, H., T. Elste, C. Plass-Dülmer, F. L. Eisele, and D. Tanner (2000), Chemical ionisation mass spectrometer for long-term measurements of atmospheric OH and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, *Int. J. Chem. Mass Spectrometr.*, 202, 91–103.
- Engler, C. (1879), Historisch-kritische Studien über das Ozon, 67 pp., Heft XV Leopoldina, Halle, Germany.

- Febo, A., C. Perrino, and I. Allegrini (1996), Measurement of nitrous acid in Milan, Italy, by DOAS and diffusion denuders, *Atmos. Environ.*, 30, 3599–3609.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., L. M. Wingen, A. L. Sumner, D. Syomin, and K. A. Ramazan (2003), The heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres: An integrated mechanism, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 5(2), 223–242.
- George, C., R. S. Strekowski, J. Kleffmann, K. Stemmler, and M. Ammann (2005), Photoenhanced uptake of gaseous NO<sub>2</sub> on solid organic compounds: A photochemical source of HNO<sub>2</sub>?, *Faraday Disc.*, 130, 195– 210.
- Handisides, G. M., C. Plass-Dülmer, S. Gilge, H. Bingemer, and H. Berresheim (2003), Hohenpeissenberg photochemical experiment (HOPE 2000): Measurements and photostationary state calculations of OH and peroxy radicals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *3*, 1565–1588.
- Hofzumahaus, A., A. Kraus, and M. Müller (1999), Solar actinic flux spectroradiometry: A technique for measuring photolysis frequencies in the atmosphere, *Appl. Opt.*, 38, 4443–4460.
- Huang, G., X. Zhou, G. Deng, H. Qiao, and K. Civerolo (2002), Measurements of nitrous acid and nitric acid, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 2225–2235.
- Kleffmann, J., J. Heland, R. Kurtenbach, J. Lörzer, and P. Wiesen (2002), A new instrument (LOPAP) for the detection of nitrous acid (HONO), *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 9(4), 48–54.
- Kleffmann, J., R. Kurtenbach, J. Lörzer, P. Wiesen, N. Kalthoff, B. Vogel, and H. Vogel (2003), Measured and simulated vertical profiles of nitrous acid—part I: Field measurements, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 2949–2955.
- Kleffmann, J., T. Gavriloaiei, A. Hofzumahaus, F. Holland, R. Koppmann, L. Rupp, E. Schlosser, M. Siese, and A. Wahner (2005), Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals in a forest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L05818, doi:10.1029/2005GL022524.
- Lammel, G., and N. J. Cape (1996), Nitrous acid and nitrite in the atmosphere, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 25, 361–369.
- Mannschreck, K., S. Gilge, C. Plass-Dülmer, W. Fricke, and H. Berresheim (2004), Assessment of the applicability of NO-NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> photostationary state to long-term measurements at the Hohenpeissenberg GAW station, Germany, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1265–1277.
- Perner, D., and U. Platt (1979), Detection of nitrous acid in the atmosphere by differential optical absorption, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 6, 917–920.
- Rohrer, F., B. Bohn, T. Brauers, D. Brüning, F. J. Johnen, A. Wahner, and J. Kleffmann (2005), Characterisation of the photolytic HONO-source in the atmosphere simulation chamber SAPHIR, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2189–2201.
- Svensson, R., E. Ljungström, and O. Lindqvist (1987), Kinetics of the reaction between nitrogen dioxide and water vapour, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21, 1529–1539.
- Stutz, J., B. Alicke, R. Ackermann, A. Geyer, S. Wang, A. B. White, E. J. Williams, C. W. Spicer, and J. D. Fast (2004), Relative humidity dependence of HONO chemistry in urban areas, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D03307, doi:10.1029/2003JD004135.
- Trick, S. (2004), Formation of nitrous acid on urban surfaces-a physicalchemical perspective, Ph.D. thesis, 290 pp., Univ. Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, 21 July.
- Zhou, X., K. Civerolo, H. Dai, G. Huang, J. Schwab, and K. Demerjian (2002), Summertime nitrous acid chemistry in the atmospheric boundary layer at a rural site in New York State, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D21), 4590, doi:10.1029/2001JD001539.

K. Acker, D. Möller, and W. Wieprecht, Brandenburgische Technische Universität Cottbus, Lehrstuhl für Luftchemie und Luftreinhaltung, D-12489 Berlin Volmerstr. 13., Germany. (ack@btu-lc.fta-berlin.de)

H. Berresheim, S. Gilge, and C. Plass-Dülmer, Deutscher Wetterdienst, Meteorologisches Observatorium Hohenpeissenberg, D-82383 Hohenpeissenberg, Germany.

B. Bohn, Institut für Chemie und Dynamik der Geosphäre II: Troposphäre, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany.

F. X. Meixner, Max-Planck-Institut (MPI) für Chemie, Abteilung Biogeochemie, D-55020 Mainz, Germany.